

# UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/894,766 08/30/97 **PUTTKAMMER** F 970224 **EXAMINER** PM82/0802 LAW OFFICES OF KARL HORMANN BARTUSKA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 86 SPARKS STREET CAMBRIDGE MA 02138-2216 3652 DATE MAILED: 08/02/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary	Application No.	766	Applicant(s)	PUTTK Group Art 1	AMII Jnit	MER
	P, O,	04	K IUX	A 2650	<u> </u>	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sl	heet be	neath the d	corresponder	nce addi	ress
Period for Reply	1	/ 1000	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	RE	MONTH(	S) FROM THE	MAILIN	IG DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute</li> </ul>	within the statutory wire SIX (6) MONTI	y minimu HS from	m of thirty (30 the mailing da	) days will be co ate of this comm	nsidered t	timely.
Status	0 (0		•			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on June	3,199	19				
This action is FINAL.		ı				•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935				o the merits i	s closed	<b>d</b> in
Disposition of Claims						
$\times$ Claim(s) $22-72$			is/are	pending in th	e applica	ation.
Of the above claim(s)				withdrawn fro		
□ Claim(s)				allowed.		
(Claim(s) 22, 24-27 AND	32			rejected.		•
X Claim(s) 23 28-3/ AND	33- 4	12		objected to.		
☐ Claim(s)			are s	ubject to restri	ction or	election
Application Papers			requii	rement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948	3.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 appro	ved [	disapprov	ed.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Exam	iner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			٠			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>	e priority docume	ents hav	/e been			
*Certified copies not received:	,					
				·		
Attachment(s)	->	<u></u> :				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	\$)			mary, PTO-4		
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892				mal Patent Ap	-	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		□ Ot	her			
Office A	Action Summary	,				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

\*U.S. GPO: 1997-433-221/62717

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 08/894,766 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 22, 24, 25 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Harbaugh '424. Harbaugh '424 discloses feeding the documents in col. 3, lines 3-8, capacitive coupling in col. 5, lines 3-12, deriving a first signal AOUT 176 and comparing it with a reference signal REF 172 in col. 8, lines 3-66.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to

Application/Control Number: 08/894,766 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harbaugh '424 in view of Weber. Harbaugh '424 shows all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except the step of converting the signal into a digital signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the disclosure in col. 7, lines 30-42 of Weber to modify the signal processing means of Harbaugh '424 to convert the first signal into a digital signal.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/894,766

Art Unit: 3652

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 23, 28-31 and 33-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

6. The applicants' remarks have been considered but have not been found persuasive in view of the art as now applied.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In

Art Unit: 3652

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to F. J. Bartuska at telephone number (703) 308-1111.

F. J. BARTUSKA PRIMARY FXAMINER